

Homogeneity of the pure state space for the separable nuclear C^* -algebras

Akitaka Kishimoto and Shôichirô Sakai

April 2001

Abstract

We prove that the pure state space is homogeneous under the action of the group of asymptotically inner automorphisms for all the separable simple nuclear C^* -algebras. If simplicity is not assumed for the C^* -algebras, the set of pure states whose GNS representations are faithful is homogeneous for the above action.

1 Introduction

If A is a C^* -algebra, an automorphism α of A is *asymptotically inner* if there is a continuous family $(u_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ in the group $\mathcal{U}(A)$ of unitaries in A (or $A + \mathbf{C}1$ if A is non-unital) such that $\alpha = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_t$; we denote by $\text{AIInn}(A)$ the group of asymptotically inner automorphisms of A , which is a normal subgroup of the group of approximately inner automorphisms. Note that each $\alpha \in \text{AIInn}(A)$ leaves each (closed two-sided) ideal of A invariant. It is shown, in [15, 1, 11], for a large class of separable nuclear C^* -algebras that if ω_1 and ω_2 are pure states of A such that the GNS representations associated with ω_1 and ω_2 have the same kernel, then there is an $\alpha \in \text{AIInn}(A)$ such that $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \alpha$. We shall show in this paper that this is the case for all separable nuclear C^* -algebras; in particular the pure state space of a separable simple nuclear C^* -algebra A is homogeneous under the action of $\text{AIInn}(A)$. We do not know of a single example of a separable C^* -algebra which does not have this property. See [8] for some problems on this and see 2.4 and 2.5 for remarks on the non-separable case.

Choi and Effros [5] have shown that A is nuclear if and only if there is a net of pairs (σ_ν, τ_ν) of completely positive (CP) contractons such that $\lim \tau_\nu \sigma_\nu(x) = x$, $x \in A$, where

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma_\nu} N_\nu \xrightarrow{\tau_\nu} A$$

and N_ν is a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra. When A is a non-unital C^* -algebra, A is nuclear if and only if $A + \mathbf{C}1$ is nuclear [5]. If A is unital, we may assume that both σ_ν and τ_ν are unit-preserving. We refer to [3, 4] for some other facts on nuclear C^* -algebras. We also quote [13] for a review on the subject.

Our proof of the homogeneity is a combination of the techniques leading up to the above result from [5] and the techniques from [11]. In section 2 we shall show how the homogeneity follows from inductive use of Lemma 2.1 (or 2.2), whose conclusion is very similar to the properties already used in [11]; this part follows closely [11] and so the proof will be sketchy. In section 3 we shall prove Lemma 2.1 from another technical lemma, Lemma 3.1, which shows some amenability of the nuclear C^* -algebras; this is the arguments often used for individual examples treated in [11] and so the proof will be again sketchy. Then we will give a proof of Lemma 3.1, which constitutes the main body of this paper and uses the results and techniques from [5].

We will conclude this paper, following [11], by generalizing Lemma 3.1 and then extend the main result, Theorem 2.3, to show that $\text{AInn}(A)$ acts on the pure state space of A *strongly transitively*. See Theorem 3.8 for details.

2 Homogeneity

We first give a main technical lemma, whose conclusion is a slightly weaker version of Property 2.6 in [11]. We will give a proof in the next section.

Lemma 2.1 *Let A be a nuclear C^* -algebra. Then for any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of A , any pure state ω of A with $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$, and $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of A and $\delta > 0$ satisfying: If φ is a pure state of A such that $\varphi \sim \omega$, and*

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta, \quad x \in \mathcal{G},$$

then there is a continuous path $(u_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_0 = 1$, $\varphi = \omega \text{Ad } u_1$, and

$$\|\text{Ad } u_t(x) - x\| < \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

In the above statement, π_ω is the GNS representation of A associated with the state ω ; $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ is the Hilbert space for this representation; $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$ is the C^* -algebra of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}_\omega$; $\varphi \sim \omega$ means that π_φ is equivalent to π_ω . We could also impose the extra condition that the length of (u_t) is smaller than $\pi + \epsilon$ for the choice of the path (u_t) ; see Property 8.1 in [11].

The following is an easy consequence:

Lemma 2.2 *Let A be a nuclear C^* -algebra. Then for any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of A , any pure state ω of A with $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$, and $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of A and $\delta > 0$ satisfying: If φ is a pure state of A such that $\ker \pi_\varphi = \ker \pi_\omega$, and*

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta, \quad x \in \mathcal{G},$$

then for any finite subset $\mathcal{F}'$ of A and $\epsilon' > 0$ there is a continuous path $(u_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_0 = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x) - \omega \text{Ad } u_1(x)| &< \epsilon', & x \in \mathcal{F}', \\ \|\text{Ad } u_t(x) - x\| &< \epsilon, & x \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Given $(\mathcal{F}, \omega, \epsilon)$, choose $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ as in the previous lemma. Let φ be a pure state of A such that $\ker \pi_\varphi = \ker \pi_\omega$ and

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta/2, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}'$ be a finite subset of A and $\epsilon' > 0$ with $\epsilon' < \delta/2$. We can mimic φ as a vector state through π_ω ; by Kadison's transitivity there is a $v \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega \text{Ad } v(x)| < \epsilon', \quad x \in \mathcal{F}' \cup \mathcal{G},$$

(see 2.3 of [11]). Since $|\omega \text{Ad } v(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta$, $x \in \mathcal{G}$, we have, by applying Lemma 2.1 to the pair ω and $\omega \text{Ad } v$, a continuous path (u_t) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_0 = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \text{Ad } v &= \omega \text{Ad } u_1, \\ \|\text{Ad } u_t(x) - x\| &< \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\varphi(x) - \omega \text{Ad } u_1(x)| < \epsilon'$, $x \in \mathcal{F}'$, this completes the proof. $\square$

We shall now turn to the main result stated in the introduction. We denote by $\text{AInn}_0(A)$ the set of $\alpha \in \text{AInn}(A)$ which has a continuous family $(u_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ with $u_0 = 1$ and $\alpha = \lim \text{Ad } u_t$; $\text{AInn}_0(A)$ can be smaller than $\text{AInn}(A)$ (e.g., $\text{AInn}_0(A)$ may not contain $\text{Inn}(A)$; see [10]).

Theorem 2.3 *Let A be a separable nuclear C^* -algebra. If ω_1 and ω_2 are pure states of A such that $\ker \pi_{\omega_1} = \ker \pi_{\omega_2}$, then there is an $\alpha \in \text{AInn}_0(A)$ such that $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \alpha$.*

Proof. Once we have Lemma 2.2, we can prove this in the same way as 2.5 of [11]. We shall only give an outline here.

Let ω_1 and ω_2 be pure states of A such that $\ker \pi_{\omega_1} = \ker \pi_{\omega_2}$.

If $\pi_{\omega_1}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1}) \neq (0)$, then $\pi_{\omega_1}(A) \supset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1})$ and π_{ω_1} is equivalent to π_{ω_2} . Then by Kadison's transitivity (see, e.g., 1.21.16 of [17]), there is a continuous path (u_t) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_0 = 1$ and $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \text{Ad } u_1$.

Suppose that $\pi_{\omega_1}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1}) = (0)$, which also implies that $\pi_{\omega_2}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_2}) = (0)$.

Let (x_n) be a dense sequence in A .

Let $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{x_1\}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ (or $\epsilon = 1$). Let $(\mathcal{G}_1, \delta_1)$ be the $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ for $(\mathcal{F}_1, \omega_1, \epsilon/2)$ as in Lemma 2.2 such that $\mathcal{G}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_1$. For this $(\mathcal{G}_1, \delta_1)$ we choose a continuous path (u_{1t}) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_{1,0} = 1$ and

$$|\omega_1(x) - \omega_2 \text{Ad } u_{1,1}(x)| < \delta_1, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}_1.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{x_i, \text{Ad } u_{1,1}^*(x_i) \mid i = 1, 2\}$ and let $(\mathcal{G}_2, \delta_2)$ be the $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ for $(\mathcal{F}_2, \omega_2 \text{Ad } u_{1,1}, 2^{-2}\epsilon)$ as in Lemma 2.2 such that $\mathcal{G}_2 \supset \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$ and $\delta_2 < \delta_1$. By 2.2 there is a continuous path (u_{2t}) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_{2,0} = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{Ad } u_{2t}(x) - x\| &< 2^{-1}\epsilon, & x \in \mathcal{F}_1, \\ |\omega_2 \text{Ad } u_{1,1}(x) - \omega_1 \text{Ad } u_{2,1}(x)| &< \delta_2, & x \in \mathcal{G}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_3 = \{x_i, \text{Ad } u_{2,1}^*(x_i) \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$ and let $(\mathcal{G}_3, \delta_3)$ be the $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ for $(\mathcal{F}_3, \omega_1 \text{Ad } u_{2,1}, 2^{-3}\epsilon)$ as in 2.2 such that $\mathcal{G}_3 \supset \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{F}_3$ and $\delta_3 < \delta_2$. By 2.2 there is a continuous path (u_{3t}) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $u_{3,0} = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{Ad } u_{3t}(x) - x\| &< 2^{-2}\epsilon, & x \in \mathcal{F}_2, \\ |\omega_1 \text{Ad } u_{2,1}(x) - \omega_2 \text{Ad}(u_{1,1}u_{3,1})(x)| &< \delta_3, & x \in \mathcal{G}_3. \end{aligned}$$

We shall repeat this process.

Assume that we have constructed $\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{G}_n, \delta_n$, and $(u_{n,t})$ inductively. In particular if n is even,

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{x_i, \text{Ad}(u_{n-1,1}^* u_{n-3,1}^* \cdots u_{1,1}^*)(x_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

and $(\mathcal{G}_n, \delta_n)$ is the $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ for $(\mathcal{F}_n, \omega_2 \text{Ad}(u_{1,1}u_{3,1} \cdots u_{n-1,1}), 2^{-n}\epsilon)$ as in 2.2 such that $\mathcal{G}_n \supset \mathcal{G}_{n-1} \cup \mathcal{F}_n$ and $\delta_n < \delta_{n-1}$. And $(u_{n,t})$ is given by 2.2 for $(\mathcal{F}_{n-1}, \omega_1 \text{Ad}(u_{2,1} \cdots u_{n-2,1}), 2^{-n+1}\epsilon)$ and for $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{G}_n$ and $\epsilon' = \delta_n$ and it satisfies

$$|\omega_1 \text{Ad}(u_{2,1}u_{4,1} \cdots u_{n,1})(x) - \omega_2 \text{Ad}(u_{1,1} \cdots u_{n-1,1})(x)| < \delta_n, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}_n.$$

We define continuous paths (v_t) and (w_t) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ with $t \in [0, \infty)$ by: For $t \in [n, n+1]$

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= u_{1,1}u_{3,1} \cdots u_{2n-1,1}u_{2n+1,t-n}, \\ w_t &= u_{2,1}u_{4,1} \cdots u_{2n-2,1}u_{2n+2,t-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, since $\|\text{Ad } u_{nt}(x) - x\| < 2^{-n+1}\epsilon$, $x \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$, we can show that $\text{Ad } v_t$ (resp. $\text{Ad } w_t$) converges to an automorphism α (resp. β) as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and that $\omega_1\beta = \omega_2\alpha$. Since $\alpha, \beta \in \text{AInn}_0(A)$ and $\text{AInn}_0(A)$ is a group, this will complete the proof. See the proofs of 2.5 and 2.8 of [11] for details. $\square$

The notion of asymptotical innerness for automorphisms may be appropriate only for separable C^* -algebras. Because any $\alpha \in \text{AInn}(A)$ can be obtained as the limit of a sequence in $\text{Inn}(A)$, not just as the limit of a net there. Hence the following remark will not be a surprise; it may only suggest that we should take $\overline{\text{Inn}}(A)$ or something bigger than $\text{AInn}(A)$ in place of $\text{AInn}(A)$, in formulating 2.3 for non-separable C^* -algebras.

Remark 2.4 There is a unital simple non-separable nuclear C^* -algebra A such that the pure states space of A is not homogeneous under the action of $\text{AInn}(A)$.

We can construct such an example as follows. Let A be a unital simple separable nuclear C^* -algebra and Λ an uncountable set. For each finite subset F of Λ we set $A_F = \otimes_{i \in \Lambda} A_i$ with $A_i \equiv A$ and take the natural inductive limit A_Λ of the net (A_F) . Since A_F is nuclear, it follows that A_Λ is nuclear.

For each $X \subset \Lambda$ we define A_X to be the C^* -subalgebra of A_Λ generated by A_F with finite $F \subset X$. Note that for each $x \in A_\Lambda$ there is a countable $X \subset \Lambda$ such that $x \in A_X$.

Let (u_n) be a sequence in $\mathcal{U}(A_\Lambda)$ such that $\text{Ad } u_n$ converges to $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A_\Lambda)$ in the point-norm topology. Since there is a countable subset $X_n \subset \Lambda$ such that $u_n \in A_{X_n}$, α is

non-trivial only on A_X , where $X = \cup_n X_n$ is countable. Thus any $\alpha \in \text{AIInn}(A_\Lambda)$ has the above property of *countable support*.

For each $i \in \Lambda$ let ω_i and φ_i be pure states of $A_i = A$ such that $\omega_i \neq \varphi_i$ and let $\omega = \otimes_{i \in \Lambda} \omega_i$ and $\varphi = \otimes_{i \in \Lambda} \varphi_i$. Then it follows that ω and φ are pure states of A_Λ and that $\omega \neq \varphi\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \text{AIInn}(A_\Lambda)$. Hence A_Λ serves as an example for the above remark.

In this case, however, we have an $\alpha \in \overline{\text{Inn}}(A_\Lambda)$ such that $\omega = \varphi\alpha$ (since this is the case for each pair ω_i, φ_i from 2.3) and it may be the case that the pure state space of A_Λ is homogeneous under the action of $\overline{\text{Inn}}(A_\Lambda)$.

Remark 2.5 There is a unital simple non-separable non-nuclear C^* -algebra A such that the pure state space of A is not homogeneous under the action of $\text{Aut}(A)$.

There are plenty of such C^* -algebras at hand. Let A be a factor of type II_1 or type III with separable predual A_* . Then A is a unital simple non-separable non-nuclear C^* -algebra (see, e.g., [13] for non-nuclearity). Since A contains a C^* -subalgebra isomorphic to $C_b(\mathbf{N}) \equiv C(\beta\mathbf{N})$ and $\beta\mathbf{N}$ has cardinality 2^c , the pure state space of A has cardinality (at least) 2^c , where c denotes the cardinality of the continuum. (We owe this argument to J. Anderson.) On the other hand any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ corresponds to an isometry on the predual A_* , a separable Banach space. Thus, since the set of bounded operators on a separable Banach space has cardinality c , $\text{Aut}(A)$ has cardinality (at most) c . Hence the pure state space of A cannot be homogeneous under the action of $\text{Aut}(A)$.

We note in passing that $\text{AIInn}(A) = \text{Inn}(A)$ for any factor A (or any quotient of a factor), since any convergent sequence in $\text{Aut}(A)$ with the point-norm topology converges in norm [9]. We also note that $\overline{\text{Inn}}(A) = \text{Inn}(A)$ for any full factor [6, 16], since then $\text{Inn}(A)$ is closed in $\text{Aut}(A)$ with the topology of point-norm convergence in A_* and so is closed in $\text{Aut}(A)$ with the topology of point-norm convergence in A .

3 Proof of Lemma 2.1

If A is a non-unital C^* -algebra, A is nuclear if and only if the C^* -algebra $A + \mathbf{C}1$ obtained by adjoining a unit is nuclear. Hence to prove Lemma 2.1 we may suppose that A is unital. In the following $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$ denotes the connected component of 1 in the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(A)$ of A .

Lemma 3.1 *Let A be a unital nuclear C^* -algebra. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a finite subset of $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$, π an irreducible representation of A on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, E a finite-dimensional projection on $\mathcal{H}$, and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of $M_{1n}(A)$ such that $xx^* \leq 1$ and $\pi(xx^*)E = E$ for $x \in \mathcal{G}$, and for any $u \in \mathcal{F}$ there is a bijection f of $\mathcal{G}$ onto $\mathcal{G}$ with*

$$\|ux - f(x)\| < \epsilon.$$

In the above statement, $M_{1n}(A)$ denotes the 1 by n matrices over A ; if $u \in A$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in M_{1n}(A)$,

$$xx^* = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^* \in A,$$

$$ux = (ux_1, ux_2, \dots, ux_n) \in M_{1n}(A).$$

We shall first show that Lemma 3.1 implies Lemma 2.1.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a finite subset of A , ω a pure state of A with $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$, and $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$ linearly spans A , we may suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite subset of $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$. For $\pi = \pi_\omega$ and the projection E onto the subspace $\mathbf{C}\Omega_\omega$, we choose an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of $M_{1n}(A)$ as in Lemma 3.1.

We take the finite subset

$$\{x_i x_j^* \mid x \in \mathcal{G}; i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

for the subset $\mathcal{G}$ required in Lemma 2.1. We will choose $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small later. Suppose that we are given a unit vector $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_\omega$ satisfying

$$|\langle \pi(x_i^*)\eta, \pi(x_j^*)\eta \rangle - \langle \pi(x_i^*)\Omega, \pi(x_j^*)\Omega \rangle| < \delta$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{G}$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $\Omega = \Omega_\omega$. Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|\pi(x_j^*)\Omega\|^2 = \langle \pi(xx^*)\Omega, \Omega \rangle = 1,$$

which implies that $|\langle \pi(xx^*)\eta, \eta \rangle - 1| < n\delta$. Thus the two finite sets of vectors $S_\Omega = \{\pi(x_i^*)\Omega \mid i = 1, \dots, n; x \in \mathcal{G}\}$ and $S_\eta = \{\pi(x_i^*)\eta \mid i = 1, \dots, n; x \in \mathcal{G}\}$ have similar geometric properties in $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ if δ is sufficiently small. Hence we are in a situation where we can apply 3.3 of [11].

Let us describe how we proceed from here in a simplified case. Suppose that the linear span $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$ of S_Ω is orthogonal to the linear span $\mathcal{L}_\eta$ of S_η and that the map $\pi(x_i^*)\Omega \mapsto \pi(x_i^*)\eta$ and $\pi(x_i^*)\eta \mapsto \pi(x_i^*)\Omega$ extends to a unitary on $\mathcal{L}_\Omega + \mathcal{L}_\eta$; in particular we have assumed that $\langle \pi(x_i^*)\eta, \pi(x_j^*)\eta \rangle = \langle \pi(x_i^*)\Omega, \pi(x_j^*)\Omega \rangle$ for all i, j . Since U is a self-adjoint unitary, $F \equiv (1 - U)/2$ is a projection and satisfies that $e^{i\pi F} = U$ on the finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{L}_\Omega + \mathcal{L}_\eta$. By Kadison's transitivity we choose an $h \in A$ such that $0 \leq h \leq 1$ and $\pi(h)|_{\mathcal{L}_\Omega + \mathcal{L}_\eta} = F$. We set

$$\bar{h} = |\mathcal{G}|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{G}} x h x^*,$$

where

$$x h x^* = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i h x_i^*.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(xhx^*)(\Omega - \eta) &= \sum \pi(x_i)F\pi(x_i^*)(\Omega - \eta), \\ &= \sum \pi(x_i)\pi(x_i^*)(\Omega - \eta) \\ &= \Omega - \eta\end{aligned}$$

and $\pi(xhx^*)(\Omega + \eta) = 0$, it follows that

$$\pi(\bar{h})(\Omega - \eta) = \Omega - \eta, \quad \pi(\bar{h})(\Omega + \eta) = 0.$$

Hence we have that $e^{i\pi\pi(\bar{h})}$ switches Ω and η .

On the other hand for $u \in \mathcal{F}$ there is a bijection f of $\mathcal{G}$ onto $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\|ux - f(x)\| < \epsilon$, $x \in \mathcal{G}$. Since

$$u\bar{h}u^* - \bar{h} = |\mathcal{G}|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{G}} \{(ux - f(x))hx^*u^* + f(x)h(x^*u^* - f(x)^*)\},$$

it follows that $\|u\bar{h}u^* - \bar{h}\| < 2\epsilon$. Thus the path $(e^{it\pi\bar{h}})_{t \in [0,1]}$ almost commutes with $\mathcal{F}$ and is what is desired. (Since what is required is $\omega_\eta = \omega \text{Ad } e^{i\pi\bar{h}}$, we may take the path $(e^{it\pi(\bar{h}-1/2)})$, whose length is $\pi/2$.)

If $\mathcal{L}_\eta$ is not orthogonal to $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$, we still find a unit vector $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_\omega$ such that

$$|\langle \pi(x_i^*)\zeta, \pi(x_j^*)\zeta \rangle - \langle \pi(x_i^*)\Omega, \pi(x_j^*)\Omega \rangle| < \delta$$

and such that $\mathcal{L}_\zeta$ is orthogonal to both $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$ and $\mathcal{L}_\eta$. Here we use the assumption that $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$. Then we combine the path of unitaries sending η to ζ and then the path sending ζ to Ω to obtain the desired path.

The above arguments can be made rigorous in the general case; see [11] for details. $\square$

We will now turn to the proof of Lemma 3.1, by first giving a series of lemmas. The following is an easy version of 3.4 of [2].

Lemma 3.2 *Let π be a non-degenerate representation of a C^* -algebra A on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, E a finite-dimensional projection on $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{F}$ a finite subset of A , and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is a finite-rank self-adjoint operator H on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $E \leq H \leq 1$ and*

$$\|[\pi(x), H]\| < \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. We define finite-dimensional subspaces V_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, of $\mathcal{H}$ as follows: $V_1 = E\mathcal{H}$ and if V_k is defined then V_{k+1} is the linear span of V_k and xV_k, x^*V_k , $x \in \mathcal{F}$, where we have omitted π . Then (V_k) is increasing and

$$x(V_{k+1} \ominus V_k) \subset V_{k+2} \ominus V_{k-1}, \quad x \in \mathcal{F},$$

with $V_0 = 0$. Denoting by E_k the projection onto V_k we define

$$H_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n E_k.$$

Then $E \leq H_n \leq E_n$. If $x \in \mathcal{F}$, we have, for $\xi \in V_{k+1} \ominus V_k$, that

$$(H_n x - x H_n) \xi = (H_n - \frac{n-k}{n}) x \xi \in V_{k+2} \ominus V_{k-1}.$$

Hence for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$(H_n x - x H_n) \xi = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (H_n x - x H_n) (E_{k+1} - E_k) \xi = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (H_n - \frac{n-k}{n}) x (E_{k+1} - E_k) \xi,$$

and thus, by splitting the above sum into three terms, each of which is the sum over $k \bmod 3 = i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, and estimating each, we reach

$$\|(H_n x - x H_n) \xi\| \leq \frac{3}{n} \|x\| \|\xi\|.$$

This implies that $\|[H_n, x]\| \leq 3/n$ for $x \in \mathcal{F}$. $\square$

If π is a representation of A on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we denote by π_n the representation of $M_n \otimes A = M_n(A)$, the n by n matrix algebra over A , on the Hilbert space $\mathbf{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{H}$. If $x_i \in A$, then $x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_n$ is naturally a diagonal element of $M_n(A)$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let π be a non-degenerate representation of a unital C^* -algebra A on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, E a finite-rank projection on $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{F}$ a finite subset of $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$, and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that each $u \in \mathcal{F}$ has a diagonal element $\hat{u} = u_1 \oplus u_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus u_n$ in $\mathcal{U}_0(M_n(A))$ satisfying $u_1 = u$, $u_n = 1$, and*

$$\|u_i - u_{i+1}\| < \epsilon/2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Furthermore there exists a finite-rank projection F on $\mathbf{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{H}$ such that $F \geq E \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0$ and

$$\|[\pi_n(\hat{u}), F]\| < \epsilon, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$ is path-wise connected, the first part is immediate.

Let $\delta > 0$, which will be specified sufficiently small later. By the previous lemma we choose a finite-rank self-adjoint operator H_1 on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $E \leq H_1 \leq 1$ and

$$\|[H_1, u_i]\| < \delta, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}$$

where we have omitted π . Let E_1 be the support projection of H_1 and let H_2 be a finite-rank self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $E_1 \leq H_2 \leq 1$, and

$$\|[H_2, u_i]\| < \delta, \quad i = 2, 3, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

In this way we define $H_3, H_4, \dots, H_{n-1}$ and set $H_n = E_{n-1}$, the support projection of H_{n-1} . We define an operator F on $\mathbf{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{H}$ as a tri-diagonal matrix as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i,i} &= H_i - H_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ F_{i,i+1} &= F_{i+1,i} = \sqrt{H_i(1-H_i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned}$$

where $H_0 = 0$. Noting that $H_i H_{i-1} = H_{i-1}$ and $H_1 \geq E$, it is easy to check that F is a finite-rank projection and F dominates $E \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0$. For $u \in \mathcal{F}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{u}F - F\hat{u})_{i,i} &= [u_i, H_i] - [u_i, H_{i-1}], \\ (\hat{u}F - F\hat{u})_{i,i+1} &= [u_i, \sqrt{H_i(1-H_i)}] + \sqrt{H_i(1-H_i)}(u_i - u_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $\|\sqrt{H_i(1-H_i)}\| \leq 1/2$, the norm of $[\hat{u}, F]$ is smaller than

$$\epsilon/2 + 2\delta + 2 \max_i \|[u_i, \sqrt{H_i(1-H_i)}]\|,$$

which can be made smaller than ϵ for all $u \in \mathcal{F}$ by choosing δ small. $\square$

When E is a projection on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we denote by $\mathcal{B}(E\mathcal{H})$ the bounded operators on the subspace $E\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 3.4 *Let A be a unital nuclear C^* -algebra, π an irreducible representation of A on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and E a finite-rank projection on $\mathcal{H}$. Then the identity map on A can be approximated by a net of compositions of CP maps*

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma_\nu = \sigma'_\nu \oplus \sigma''_\nu} N_\nu \oplus \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\tau_\nu = \tau'_\nu + \tau''_\nu} A,$$

where N_ν is a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra, (E_ν) is an increasing net of finite-rank projections on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $E \leq E_\nu$ and $\lim E_\nu = 1$, σ'_ν and σ''_ν are unital CP maps such that $\sigma''_\nu(x) = E_\nu \pi(x) E_\nu$, $x \in A$, and τ_ν is a unital CP map such that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \tau'_\nu(a) E &= 0, & a \in N_\nu, \\ E \pi \tau''_\nu(b) E &= E b E, & b \in \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. There is a non-degenerate representation ρ of A such that ρ is disjoint from π and $\rho \oplus \pi$ is a universal representation, i.e., $\rho \oplus \pi$ extends to a faithful representation of A^{**} . Note that $(\rho \oplus \pi)(A^{**}) = \rho(A)'' \oplus \pi(A)''$.

If the nuclear C^* -algebra A is separable, A^{**} is semidiscrete [3], which in turn implies that $\mathcal{R} = \rho(A)''$ is semidiscrete. Hence the identity map on $\mathcal{R}$ can be approximated, in the point-weak* topology, by a net $(\tau'_\nu \sigma'_\nu)$ of CP maps on $\mathcal{R}$, where σ'_ν (resp. τ'_ν) is a weak*-continuous unital CP map of $\mathcal{R}$ into a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra N_ν (resp. of N_ν into $\mathcal{R}$). By denoting $\sigma'_\nu \rho$ by σ'_ν again, we obtain a net of diagrams

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma'_\nu} N_\nu \xrightarrow{\tau'_\nu} \mathcal{R}$$

such that $\tau'_\nu \sigma'_\nu(x)$ converges to $\rho(x)$ in the weak* topology for any $x \in A$.

If A is separable or not, we have the characterization of nuclearity in terms of CP maps [5]; there is a net of diagrams of unital CP maps:

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma'_\nu} N_\nu \xrightarrow{\tau'_\nu} A$$

such that N_ν is finite-dimensional and $\tau'_\nu \sigma'_\nu(x)$ converges to x in norm for any $x \in A$. By denoting $\rho \tau'_\nu$ by τ'_ν again, we obtain a net of diagrams:

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma'_\nu} N_\nu \xrightarrow{\tau'_\nu} \mathcal{R}$$

as above; actually $\tau'_\nu \sigma'_\nu(x)$ converges to $\rho(x)$ in norm for any $x \in A$.

Since $\pi(A)'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is semidiscrete, there is such a net of CP maps on $\pi(A)''$ as for $\mathcal{R}$ as well. But we shall construct one in a specific way.

Let (E_ν) be an increasing net of finite-rank projections on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $E \leq E_\nu$ and $\lim E_\nu = 1$. We define $\sigma''_\nu : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H})$ by $\sigma''_\nu(x) = E_\nu x E_\nu$ and $\tau''_\nu : \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $\tau''_\nu(a) = a + \omega(a)(1 - E_\nu)$, where ω is a vector state, defined through a fixed unit vector in $E\mathcal{H}$. Then it is immediate that $(\sigma''_\nu, \tau''_\nu)$ has the desired properties. By denoting $\sigma''_\nu \pi$ by σ''_ν again, we obtain a net of diagrams:

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma''_\nu} \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\tau''_\nu} \pi(A)''$$

such that $\tau''_\nu \sigma''_\nu(x)$ converges to $\pi(x)$ in the weak* topology for any $x \in A$.

We may suppose that we use the same directed set $\{\nu\}$ for both (σ'_ν, τ'_ν) and $(\sigma''_\nu, \tau''_\nu)$. We set $\sigma_\nu = \sigma'_\nu \oplus \sigma''_\nu$, $M_\nu = N_\nu \oplus \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H})$, and $\tau_\nu = \tau'_\nu + \tau''_\nu$. By identifying A^{**} with $\mathcal{R} \oplus \pi(A)''$, we have that

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma_\nu} M_\nu \xrightarrow{\tau_\nu} A^{**}$$

approximate the identity map on A (in the point-weak* topology), i.e., $\tau_\nu \sigma_\nu(x)$ converges to x in the weak* topology for any $x \in A$.

Following [5] we approximate τ_ν by unital CP maps of M_ν into A . This is done as follows. If (e_{ij}^k) denotes a family of matrix units of M_ν , τ_ν is uniquely determined by the positive element $\Lambda_\nu = (\tau_\nu(e_{ij}^k))$ in $M_\nu \otimes A^{**}$ (2.1 of [5]). Since $M_\nu \otimes A$ is dense in $M_\nu \otimes A^{**}$ in the weak* topology, we can, by general theory, approximate Λ_ν by positive elements in $M_\nu \otimes A$, in the weak* topology, which then determine CP maps of M_ν into A (see the proof of 3.1 of [5]). In particular we approximate $\tau'_\nu : N_\nu \rightarrow A^{**}$ by CP maps $\psi' : N_\nu \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$\pi \psi'(a)E = 0, \quad a \in N_\nu,$$

and $\tau''_\nu : \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow A^{**}$ by CP maps $\psi'' : \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$E \pi \psi''(a)E = E a E, \quad a \in \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}).$$

This is indeed possible as shown by using Kadison's transitivity. Moreover, by taking convex combinations of $\psi' + \psi''$, we may assume that $h = \psi'(1) + \psi''(1)$ is close to $1 \in A$

in norm. By replacing ψ' by $h^{-1/2}\psi'(\cdot)h^{-1/2}$ etc. we may suppose that $\psi = \psi' + \psi''$ is a unital CP map. Since $hE = E = Eh$, this does not destroy the above properties imposed on ψ' and ψ'' .

Restricting σ_ν to A and retaining the same symbol τ for the CP maps into A (instead of ψ), we now have a net of the compositions of unital CP maps:

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma_\nu} M_\nu \xrightarrow{\tau_\nu} A,$$

which approximates the identity map in the point-weak topology.

By taking convex combinations of the above CP maps, we will obtain such a net which now approximates the identity map in the point-norm topology. For example, if (λ_ν) is such that $\lambda_\nu \geq 0$, $S = \{\nu \mid \lambda_\nu > 0\}$ is finite, and $\sum_\nu \lambda_\nu = 1$, then we define

$$A \xrightarrow{\phi} \left(\bigoplus_{\nu \in S} N_\nu \right) \oplus \mathcal{B}(E_{\nu_0} \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\psi} A,$$

where ν_0 is such that $\nu_0 \geq \nu$, $\nu \in S$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= (\bigoplus_{\nu \in S} \sigma'_\nu) \oplus \sigma''_{\nu_0}, \\ \psi &= \left(\sum_{\nu \in S} \lambda_\nu \tau'_\nu \right) + \left(\sum_{\lambda_\nu \in S} \lambda_\nu \tau''_\nu p_\nu \right), \end{aligned}$$

with $p_\nu : \mathcal{B}(E_{\nu_0} \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H})$ defined by the multiplication of E_ν on both sides. By doing so, the properties $\pi\psi'(a)E = 0$ and $E\pi\psi''(a)E = EaE$ are still retained, where ψ' is the first component of ψ etc. See [5] for technical details. $\square$

Lemma 3.5 *Let $\sigma_\nu, \tau_\nu, M_\nu = N_\nu \oplus \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H})$ be as in 3.4. For any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ satisfies that $\|u - \tau_\nu \sigma_\nu(u)\| < \delta$, there is a $v \in \mathcal{U}(M_\nu)$ with $\|u - \tau_\nu(v)\| < \epsilon$.*

Proof. Suppose that A is represented on a Hilbert space H . Since $\tau = \tau_\nu$ is a unital CP map, by Steinspring's theorem there is a representation ϕ of $M = M_\nu$ on a Hilbert space K which contains H such that $\tau(a) = P\phi(a)P$, $a \in M$, where P is the projection onto H .

If $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ satisfies that $\|u - \tau\sigma(u)\| < \delta$, where $\sigma = \sigma_\nu$ etc., it follows that

$$\tau(\sigma(u)\sigma(u)^*) = P\phi\sigma(u)\phi\sigma(u)^*P \geq P\phi\sigma(u)P\phi\sigma(u)^*P \geq (1 - 2\delta)P.$$

Let b denote $\sigma(u)\sigma(u)^*$. Since $P\phi(b)(1 - P)\phi(b)P = P\phi(b^2)P - (P\phi(b)P)^2 \leq P - (1 - 2\delta)^2P$, we have that $\|P\phi(b)(1 - P)\| \leq 2\delta^{1/2}$. Since $[P, \phi(b)] = P\phi(b)(1 - P) - (1 - P)\phi(b)P$, we also have that $\|[P, \phi(b)]\| \leq 2\delta^{1/2}$. For any $a \in M$ it follows that $\|\tau(ba) - \tau(b)\tau(a)\| \leq 2\delta^{1/2}\|a\|$ and $\|\tau(ba) - \tau(a)\| \leq 2(\delta^{1/2} + \delta)\|a\|$.

If e is the spectral projection of b corresponding to $[\lambda, 1]$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then $b \leq \lambda(1 - e) + be$ and

$$(1 - 2\delta)P \leq P\phi(b)P \leq \lambda P - \lambda P\phi(e)P + P\phi(be)P \leq \lambda P - \lambda P\phi(e)P + P\phi(e)P + 2(\delta + \delta^{1/2})P.$$

Let $\lambda = 1 - 4\delta - 2\delta^{1/2} - \delta^{1/4}$. Then the above inequality implies that

$$\delta^{1/4}P \leq (4\delta + 2\delta^{1/2} + \delta^{1/4})P\phi(e)P,$$

or $\|P - P\phi(e)P\| \leq 4\delta^{3/4} + 2\delta^{1/4}$. Hence we have that $\|\tau(e) - 1\| < 3\delta^{1/4}$ and $\|\tau(be) - 1\| < 3\delta^{1/4}$ for a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Since $be \leq (be)^{1/2} \leq e$, $\tau((be)^{1/2})$ is also close to 1. Since $\|\tau(e) - \tau((be)^{1/2})\tau((be)^{-1/2})\| \leq \|P\phi((be)^{1/2})(1 - P)\| \|(be)^{-1/2}\| < 3\delta^{1/8}$, $\tau((be)^{-1/2})$ is also close to 1 (up to the order of $\delta^{1/8}$ in this rough estimate); here $(be)^{-1/2}$ is the inverse of $(be)^{1/2}$ in eMe .

We now define a unitary v in M by $v = (be)^{-1/2}\sigma(u) + y$, where y satisfies that $yy^* = 1 - e$ and $y^*y = 1 - \sigma(u)^*(be)^{-1}\sigma(u)$. Since $(be)^{-1/2}\sigma(u)\sigma(u)^*(be)^{-1/2} = e$, v is indeed a unitary. Since $\tau(y)\tau(y^*) \leq \tau(yy^*) = \tau(1 - e) \leq 3\delta^{1/4}$, $\|y\|$ is of the order of $\delta^{1/8}$. Since $\tau((be)^{-1/2}\sigma(u))$ is close to $\tau((be)^{-1/2})\tau(\sigma(u))$ up to the order of $\delta^{1/16}$, we can conclude that $\|\tau(v) - \tau(\sigma(u))\|$ is close to zero up to the order of $\delta^{1/16}$. $\square$

When (X, d) is a metric space, $S \subset X$, and $\epsilon > 0$, we call S an ϵ -net if $\cup_{x \in S} B(x, \epsilon) = X$, where $B(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$. When X has a finite ϵ -net, we denote by $N(X, \epsilon)$ the minimum of orders over all the finite ϵ -nets. If X is compact, then $N(X, \epsilon)$ is well-defined for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Lemma 3.6 *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. If S_1 and S_2 are ϵ -nets consisting $N(X, \epsilon)$ points, then there is a bijection f of S_1 onto S_2 such that $d(x, f(x)) < 2\epsilon$, $x \in S_1$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a non-empty subset of S_1 and set

$$\mathcal{G} = \{y \in S_2 \mid B(y, \epsilon) \cap \cup_{x \in \mathcal{F}} B(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Since $\cup_{x \in \mathcal{F}} B(x, \epsilon) \subset \cup_{x \in \mathcal{G}} B(x, \epsilon)$, it follows that $\mathcal{G} \cup S_1 \setminus \mathcal{F}$ is an ϵ -net and that the order of $\mathcal{G}$ is greater than or equal to the order of $\mathcal{F}$. Then by the matching theorem we can find a bijection f of S_1 onto S_2 such that $f(x) \in \{y \in S_2 \mid B(x, \epsilon) \cap B(y, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset\}$. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 3.1 Let π be an irreducible representation of the unital nuclear C^* -algebra A on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, E a finite-rank projection on $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{F}$ a finite subset of $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$, and $\epsilon > 0$.

We apply Lemma 3.3 to this situation. Thus there exist an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and a finite-rank projection F on $\mathbf{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} F &\geq E \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0, \\ \|[F, \pi_n(\hat{u})]\| &< \epsilon, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}, \end{aligned}$$

where π_n denotes the natural extension of π to a representation of $M_n \otimes A$ on $\mathbf{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{H}$; hereafter we shall simply denote π_n by π . Let F_0 be a finite-rank projection on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $F \leq 1 \otimes F_0$.

By Lemma 3.4 we find a net of diagrams

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma_\nu = \sigma'_\nu \oplus \sigma''_\nu} N_\nu \oplus \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\tau_\nu = \tau'_\nu + \tau''_\nu} A$$

with F_0 in place of E as described there; in particular $F_0 \leq E_\nu$. We take tensor product of these diagrams with M_n ; denoting $\text{id}_n \otimes \sigma_\nu$ by the same symbol σ_ν etc., we obtain

$$M_n \otimes A \xrightarrow{\sigma_\nu = \sigma'_\nu \oplus \sigma''_\nu} M_n \otimes N_\nu \oplus M_n \otimes \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\tau_\nu = \tau'_\nu + \tau''_\nu} M_n \otimes A.$$

Noting that $F \in M_n \otimes \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{C}^n \otimes E_\nu \mathcal{H})$, we denote

$$V_\nu = \mathcal{U}(M_n \otimes N_\nu \oplus M_n \otimes \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \cap \{F\}'),$$

which is a compact group. Since $(1 \otimes F_0)\pi\tau'_\nu(v) = 0$ and $(1 \otimes F_0)\pi\tau''_\nu(v)(1 \otimes F_0) = (1 \otimes F_0)v(1 \otimes F_0)$, we have that for each $v \in V_\nu$

$$\begin{aligned} F\pi(\tau_\nu(v)\tau_\nu(v^*))F &= F(1 \otimes F_0)\pi(\tau_\nu(v)\tau_\nu(v^*))(1 \otimes F_0)F, \\ &= F(1 \otimes F_0)\pi(\tau''_\nu(v)\tau''_\nu(v^*))(1 \otimes F_0)F, \\ &= F(1 \otimes F_0)v(1 \otimes F_0)v^*(1 \otimes F_0)F \\ &\quad + F(1 \otimes F_0)\pi(\tau''_\nu(v))(1 \otimes (1 - F_0))\pi(\tau''_\nu(v^*))(1 \otimes F_0)F. \end{aligned}$$

Since the first term is F as $[F, v] = 0$, the second term must be zero. Hence it follows that

$$F\pi(\tau_\nu(v)\tau_\nu(v)^*)F = F,$$

which implies that

$$\pi(\tau_\nu(v)\tau_\nu(v)^*)F = F.$$

By multiplying $E \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0$ from the right we have that

$$\sum_{j,k} \pi(\tau_\nu(v_{1j})\tau_\nu(v_{kj}^*))F_{k1}E = E.$$

Since $F \geq E \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0$, we have that $F_{k1}E = 0$ for $k \neq 1$. Thus it follows that for $v \in V_\nu$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \pi(\tau_\nu(v_{1j})\tau_\nu(v_{1j}^*))E = E.$$

By Lemma 3.5 (applied to $M_n \otimes A$ instead of A) we choose ν such that each $u \in \mathcal{F}$ has a unitary $\hat{u}' \in M_n \otimes N_\nu \oplus M_n \otimes \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\|\tau_\nu(\hat{u}') - \hat{u}\| \approx 0$$

as well as

$$\|\tau_\nu \sigma_\nu(\hat{u}) - \hat{u}\| \approx 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes F_0)\hat{u}'(1 \otimes F_0) &= (1 \otimes F_0)\pi(\tau_\nu''(\hat{u}'))(1 \otimes F_0) \\ &\approx (1 \otimes F_0)\pi(\tau_\nu(\hat{u}'))(1 \otimes F_0) \approx (1 \otimes F_0)\pi(\hat{u})(1 \otimes F_0), \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\pi(\hat{u})F \approx F\pi(\hat{u})F \approx F\hat{u}'F \approx \hat{u}'F.$$

By choosing ν sufficiently large, we may assume that

$$\|[\hat{u}', F]\| < \epsilon, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

By taking the unitary part of the polar decomposition of $w = F\hat{u}'F + (1 - F)\hat{u}'(1 - F)$, we may assume that

$$[\hat{u}', F] = 0, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Since $\|w - \hat{u}'\| < 2\epsilon$, we can estimate that

$$\|\tau_\nu(\hat{u}') - \hat{u}\| < 3\epsilon, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Since $\|\tau_\nu(\hat{u}')\tau_\nu(\hat{u}')^* - 1\| < 6\epsilon$, we have that for any $v \in V_\nu$,

$$\|\tau_\nu(\hat{u}'v) - \tau_\nu(\hat{u}')\tau_\nu(v)\| < (12\epsilon)^{1/2} < 4\epsilon^{1/2}.$$

(See the proof of 3.5.) Hence for $v \in V_\nu$

$$\|\hat{u}\tau_\nu(v) - \tau_\nu(\hat{u}'v)\| < 3\epsilon + 4\epsilon^{1/2}, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We choose an ϵ -net $\mathcal{G}'$ of V_ν consisting of $N(V_\nu, \epsilon)$ points and set

$$\mathcal{G} = \{(\tau_\nu(v_{11}), \tau_\nu(v_{12}), \dots, \tau_\nu(v_{1n})) \mid v \in \mathcal{G}'\}.$$

Since $\hat{u}'\mathcal{G}'$ is also an ϵ -net of V_ν for $u \in \mathcal{F}$, Lemma 3.6 gives a bijection f of $\mathcal{G}'$ onto $\mathcal{G}'$ such that

$$\|\hat{u}'v - f(v)\| < 2\epsilon, \quad v \in \mathcal{G}'.$$

Hence for each $u \in \mathcal{F}$ there is a bijection f of $\mathcal{G}'$ onto $\mathcal{G}'$ such that

$$\|\hat{u}\tau_\nu(v) - \tau_\nu(f(v))\| < 5\epsilon + 4\epsilon^{1/2},$$

which implies that regarding f as a map of $\mathcal{G}$ onto $\mathcal{G}$,

$$\|ux - f(x)\| < 5\epsilon + 4\epsilon^{1/2}, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

In Lemma 3.4 we could handle a mutually disjoint finite family of irreducible representations instead of just one. By doing so we can derive:

Lemma 3.7 *Let A be a unital nuclear C^* -algebra. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a finite subset of $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$, π a representation of A on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \pi_i$ with $(\pi_i)_{i=1}^k$ a mutually disjoint family of irreducible representations of A , E a finite-dimensional projection on $\mathcal{H}$, and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of $M_{1n}(A)$ such that $xx^* \leq 1$ and $\pi(xx^*)E = E$ for $x \in \mathcal{G}$, and for any $u \in \mathcal{F}$ there is a bijection f of $\mathcal{G}$ onto $\mathcal{G}$ with*

$$\|ux - f(x)\| < \epsilon.$$

A straightforward generalization of 3.4 would require that $E \in \pi(A)''$ in the above statement. But, since any finite-rank projection on $\mathcal{H}$ is dominated by such a one in $\pi(A)''$, we did not need it.

By having this at hand we can derive a stronger version of Lemma 2.1 and then strengthen Theorem 2.3. For example we will obtain:

Theorem 3.8 *Let A be a separable nuclear C^* -algebra. If $(\omega_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $(\varphi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are finite sequences of pure states of A such that (ω_i) (resp. (φ_i)) are mutually disjoint and $\ker \omega_i = \ker \varphi_i$ for all i , then there is an $\alpha \in \text{AInn}_0(A)$ such that $\omega_i = \varphi_i \alpha$ for all i .*

We will have to use a general form of Kadison's transitivity for the proofs of the above results as in [17]. See Section 7 of [11] for details and for other consequences.

We do not know whether we could take an arbitrary non-degenerate representation of A for π in Lemma 3.7 (perhaps by weakening the requirement $\pi(xx^*)E = E$ by $\|\pi(xx^*)E - E\| < \epsilon$). If this were the case, we would obtain a new characterization of nuclearity which manifests a close connection with amenability of A (cf. [7, 12, 14]).

References

- [1] O. Bratteli, Inductive limits of finite-dimensional C^* -algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171 (1972), 195–234.
- [2] N.P. Brown, K. Dykema, and D. Shlyakhtenko, Topological entropy of free product automorphisms, preprint.
- [3] M-D. Choi and E.G. Effros, Separable nuclear C^* -algebras and injectivity, Duke Math. J. 43 (1976), 309–322.
- [4] M-D. Choi and E.G. Effros, Nuclear C^* -algebras and injectivity: The general case, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 26 (1977), 443–446.
- [5] M-D. Choi and E.G. Effros, Nuclear C^* -algebras and the approximation property, Amer. J. Math. 100 (1978), 61–79.
- [6] A. Connes, Almost periodic states and factors of type III_1 , J. Funct. Anal. 16 (1974), 415–445.
- [7] A. Connes, On the cohomology of operator algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 28 (1978), 248–253.

- [8] E.G. Effros, On the structure theory of C^* -algebras: some old and new problems, in: Proceedings of symposia in pure mathematics 38 (1982) part 1, edited by R.V. Kadison, pages 19–34.
- [9] G.A. Elliott, Convergence of automorphisms in certain C^* -algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 11 (1972), 204–206.
- [10] G.A. Elliott and M. Rørdam, The automorphism group of the irrational rotation C^* -algebra, Commun. Math. Phys. 155 (1993), 3–26.
- [11] H. Futamura, N. Kataoka, and A. Kishimoto, Homogeneity of the pure state space for separable C^* -algebras, to appear in Internat. J. Math.
- [12] U. Haagerup, All nuclear C^* -algebras are amenable, Invent. Math. 74 (1983), 305–319.
- [13] E.C. Lance, Tensor products and nuclear C^* -algebras, in: Proceedings of symposia in pure mathematics 38 (1982) part 1, edited by R.V. Kadison, pages 379–399.
- [14] A.T. Paterson, Nuclear C^* -algebras have amenable unitary groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1992), 719–721.
- [15] R.T. Powers, Representations of uniformly hyperfinite algebras and their associated von Neumann rings, Ann. of Math. 86 (1967), 138–171.
- [16] S. Sakai, On automorphism groups of II_1 -factors, Tôhoku Math. J. 26 (1974), 423–430.
- [17] S. Sakai, *C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*, Classics in Math., Springer, 1998.

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan 060-0810
5-1-6-205, Odawara, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Japan 980-0003